

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,010	JONES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick J. Assouad	2857	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Patrick J. Assouad.

(3) _____

(2) Michael Haynes.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's facsimile transmission of a Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 on 7/27/05 was garbled; three pages somehow turned into 49 pages of facsimile machine code. The other papers in the Response filed 7/27/05 appear fine. Applicant was requested to resubmit the Declaration of inventor Hausman only. Attached is the resubmitted Declaration of inventor Hausman.